

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/605,090	BEIERLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bruce F. Bell	1746

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Bruce F. Bell.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Robert Beam.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

claims 2 and 16

Claims discussed:

2 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed with Mr. Beam that the limitations in claims 2 and 16 did not further limit the scope of the invention since it did not appear that the removal of the fastener from the orifice does not further limit the method of preventing corrosion.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)